

Schedule-Carrying Code

Thomas A. Henzinger, Christoph M. Kirsch, and Slobodan Matic



Contents

Giotto

Schedule Carrying Code

Real Time Programming

Elements of Formal Models for Real Time Systems

Giotto Model, FLET, Tool Chain

- ☑E Code and S Code
- ☑ The E Machine and S Machine
- △E Code and S Code Instructions and Interpreters
- Simplified Flight Control Example

Generating vs Checking SCC



Giotto

Giotto is a formal model/ high level programming language for RTS based on FLET and periodic tasks.

Advantages:

- Separation of functional and timing concerns
- Value and time determinism
- Predictability
- Platform-independence
- Multi-modal support (mode switching)
- Compossability



SCC is real time code annotated with the description of a schedule, which witnesses the schedulability of the code.

Advantages

- Produced by the compiler
- Produced once and revalidated and executed with each use, on a given platform.
- More efficient
- More flexible
- It is easier to prove time safety.
- Reuse of proofs



Models for Real Time Programming





Elements of Formal Models for Real Time Systems

- Components and connectors
- Functional and control description of components
- Time issues
- Environment behavior description
- Processing issues
- Verification technique
- Complexity



Giotto Model



Universit t Salzburg

FLET – Task Execution Model





FLET – Communication Between Tasks







Giotto Tool Chain



E Code

E code

- Reactive/ timing code
- Manages the release times and deadlines of software tasks in reaction to environment events

E code is:

- Portable
- Predictable
- Composable



S Code

S code

- Proactive/ scheduling code
- Manages the execution of released tasks on available CPUs

S code is:

- Universal any scheduling strategy
- Verifiable fast time safety checking
- Supports distribution



The E Machine and S Machine



Tasks are preemptive, user level code, with non-negligible WCETs
Drivers are system level code, with negligible WCETs
E Machine monitors input events through triggers
S Machine monitors input events through timeouts



E Code Instructions

- Call(d)
- Schedule(t)
- Future(g,a)

The E machine maintains a queue of trigger bindings (g,a,s).

- If (c,a)
- Return

The execution is *time safe* if once released, a task *t* completes:

- Before any driver accessed a port of t
- Before *t* is released again



E Code Interpreter

```
while ProgramCounter \neq \perp do
```

```
i := Instruction(ProgramCounter)
```

if call(d) = i then

if driver *d* accesses a port of a task *t* that has been released but not completed **then** throw a time-safety exception **else** execute *d*

else if schedule(*t*) = *i* then

if task t has already been released but not yet completed

then throw a time-safety exception else emit a signal on the release port of t else if future(g, a) = i then

```
append the trigger binding (g, a, s) to TriggerQueue, where s is the current state of the input ports that occur in g
```

end if

```
ProgramCounter := Next (ProgramCounter )
```

end while



S Code Instructions

Transient instructions:

- Call(d)
- Fork(a)

The execution is *time sharing* if only one task is dispatched to the CPU. The S machine maintains a set of thread instances (t, b, h, a, s).

Timed instructions:

- Dispatch(t,h,a) with 2 possible outcomes. S machine proceeds with:
 The next instruction (t completes, or has not been released)
 S code at address a (h expires)
- Idle(h)



S Code Interpreter

```
while ProgramCounter \neq \perp do
    i := Instruction(ProgramCounter)
    ProgramCounter := Next (ProgramCounter)
    if call(d) = i then
            if driver d accesses a port of a task t that has been released but not completed
            then throw a time-safety exception else execute d
    else if dispatch(t, h, a) = i then
            if there is a thread instance in ThreadSet with a non-idle task then
              throw a time-sharing exception
            else
              insert the thread instance (t, ProgramCounter, h, a, ReferenceTime) into
              ThreadSet and set ProgramCounter to \perp
            end if
    else if idle(h) = i then
            insert the thread instance (idle, \perp, h, ProgramCounter, ReferenceTime) into
            ThreadSet and set ProgramCounter to \perp
    else if fork(a) = i then
            insert the thread instance (idle, \perp, true, a,s) into ThreadSet, where s is the current
            value of the system clock
    end if
end while
```

Universit

Salzburg

Example - Simplified Flight Control

<u>Giotto</u>

start hover {
mode hover() period 120ms {
 exitfreq 3 do cruise(switch);
 taskfreq 1 do pilot();
 taskfreq 2 do control();
 taskfreq 3 do lieu(); }
mode cruise() period 120ms {
 exitfreq 2 do hover(switch);
 taskfreq 1 do pilot();
 taskfreq 2 do control();
 taskfreq 4 do move(); }

H0: if (switch, C0 + 1) schedule(pilot) schedule(control) schedule(*lieu*) future(40ms, H40a) return[h0] H40a: if(*switch*, H40b) schedule(*lieu*) future(20*ms*, H60) return[h40] H40b: future(20ms, C60) return H60: schedule(*control*) future(20ms, H80a) return[h60] H80a: if(*switch*, H80b) schedule(*lieu*) future(40ms, H0) return[h80] H80b: future(10*ms*, C90) return

E Code

C0: if(switch, H0+ 1) schedule(pilot) schedule(control) schedule(move) future(30*ms*. C30) return[c0] C30: schedule(*move*) future(30*ms*. C60) return[c30] C60: if(*switch*, H60) schedule(*control*) schedule(move) future(30*ms*, C90) return[c60] C90: schedule(move) future(30ms, C0) return[c90]



Simplified Flight Control - E code





Simplified Flight Control - S Code Variants - RM

Rate Monotonic

```
RM: dispatch(lieu, +4)
dispatch(control, +3)
dispatch(pilot, +2)
idle()
fork(RM)
return
```

Hover mode (RM scheduling)

	0ms	40)ms		60	ms 8	Oms			20ms
Pilot		released			Pi	preem	pted		lot	end
Control	released	Contr	pre	ol	end	Contr	pre	ol	end	
Lieu	Lieu	end	Lieu			end	Lieu		end	



Simplified Flight Control - S Code Variants - EDF

Earliest-deadline-first

EDF0/60:	dispatch(<i>lieu</i> , +4)	EDF40/80: dispatch(<i>control</i> , +4)				
	dispatch(<i>control</i> , +3)		dispatch(<i>lieu,</i> +3)			
	dispatch(<i>pilot</i> , +2)		dispatch(<i>pilot,</i> +2)			
	idle()		idle()			
	fork(EDF40/80)		fork(EDF0/60)			
	return	Hover mode	return			

(EDF scheduling)



Universit t Salzburg

Simplified Flight Control - S Code Variants

Non-Preemptive S Code for the cruise mode

Is time safe if $w(move) + w(control) \le 30ms$ and $2 \cdot w(move) + w(pilot) \le 60ms$

NP0: dispatch(move)NP30: dispatch(move)NP60: dispatch(pilot)Ndispatch(control)dispatch(pilot, NP60)dispatch(move)idle()idle()idle()fork(NP30)fork(NP60)fork(NP90)returnreturnreturn

NP90: dispatch(*control*) dispatch(*move*) idle() fork(NP0) return



SCC Rules

- An SCC program is a pair (E, S) consisting of an E program that shares a set of tasks with an S program Rules
- if there is an enabled thread instance that contains a completed task, then the S machine must handle that thread instance before the E machine handles any enabled triggers
- if there is an enabled trigger binding, then the E machine must handle that trigger binding before the S machine handles any expired timeouts.



Generating vs Checking SCC

- Use path-insensitive program analysis to check SCC, based on abstract semantics.
- Searching the state space is exponential.
- Checking the Time Safety is simpler for Giotto generated and simplified SCC.
- It is NP hard to generate non-preemptive or distributed schedules for Giotto programs.
- But simple Giotto generated SCC program can be checked in time linear with the size of the E code and frequency of events.



Time Safety Checking

- We can use classical Time Safety Checking for known algorithms.
- EDF schedulability of a single mode can be checked by solving a utilization equation.
- For multimode Giotto programs, if each mode in isolation is time-safe under EDF scheduling, then the whole program is time-safe under EDF
- It can be proved in linear size with the Giotto program that a SCC program corresponds to a certain algorithm.



Optimality for schedulers

- A set of tasks is *schedulable* or *feasible* if all deadlines are met by some algorithm.
- A scheduling algorithm A is optimal among a category of scheduling algorithms if:

Any systems that A cannot schedule cannot be scheduled by any other scheduling algorithms in the same category



Optimal Scheduling Algorithms

Rate Monotonic Scheduling (RM)

- Priority = rate = 1/period
- Tasks with smaller periods have higher priorities
- Optimal among all fixed-priority algorithms

Earliest Deadline First (EDF)

- Priority = absolute deadline
- Tasks with earlier deadlines have higher priorities
- Optimal dynamic (varying priority) scheduling algorithm



Processor Utilization - Time Safety Check

• The *processor utilization* factor is the fraction of the processor time spent in the execution of the task set:

 $U = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{C_i}{T_i}$ $C_i - \text{computation time, WCET}$ $T_i - \text{period}$

- Time safety check: for a given algorithm A, we can compute the *least upper bound* U_{lub} (A)
- If U > 1 no scheduling algorithms can guarantee the schedulability
- If $U \le U_{lub}(A)$ the tasks are schedulable by algorithm A This condition *sufficient but not necessary*:
- If U_{lub}(A) <U ≤ 1, nothing can be said on the feasibility of the task set.

Jniversit

Salzburg

Processor Utilization - Time Safety Check

EDF Utilization Bound

- U_{lub}=1
- TSC: U ≤ 1
- EDF is optimal among all algorithms

RM Utilization Bound

- for *n* tasks: $U_{\text{lub}}(n) = n(2^{1/n} 1)$
- $U_{lub}(2) = 0.828$
- $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_{\text{lub}}(n) = \ln 2 = 0.693$
- TSC: U ≤ U_{lub}
- How do we test schedulability for RM when $U_{lub} < U \le 1$?

