SYMBOLIC EXECUTION

CHRISTOPH KIRSCH, CTU PRAGUE

WHAT IS SYMBOLIC EXECUTION?

- Concrete execution is the execution of code for a <u>single</u> input
- Input is everything that the executed code depends on (system calls, uninitialized memory or variables; no concurrency for now)
- Symbolic execution is the execution of code for <u>all</u> inputs (up to a given number of instructions or statements)
- Concolic execution is the execution of code for <u>some</u> inputs through concrete execution guided by symbolic execution

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF SYMBOLIC EXECUTION?

Given an upper bound n on the number of executed instructions or statements:

symbolic execution of code computes

the set of all inputs that

make the code produce runtime errors

within executing up to n instructions or statements

on any of the inputs in that set

HOW IS THE SET OF ALL INPUTS THAT LEAD TO RUNTIME ERRORS REPRESENTED?

EXAMPLE: SEQUENTIAL CODE

EXAMPLE: BRANCHING

// x'' == x' * 2 & x' == x + 1if (x == UINT64 MAX)exit(1); exit(1) is reachable if and only if x'' == UINT64 MAX &&x'' = x' * 2 & &path condition -> x' == x + 1

is satisfiable

REACHABILITY IS SATISFIABILITY

code is reachable iff formula is satisfiable

but only if

- x := x + 1; <> x' := x + 1
- x := x * 2; <> x' == x * 2
- x == UINT64 MAX <> x == UINT64 MAX

C code

64-bit bit vector theory

SATISFIABILITY MODULO THEORY (SMT)

x'' == UINT64 MAX &&
x'' == x' * 2 & &
x′ == x + 1
reduces to the SAT formula:
P & & Q & & R
modulo
the theory of 64-bit bit vectors:

+, *, ==, ... over 64-bit bit vector variables x

SMT SOLVERS

Z3 (Microsoft Research) CVC4 (Stanford) boolector (JKU Linz)

• • •

EXAMPLE: BRANCHING

...

}

EXAMPLE: BRANCHING, FULLY CONCURRENT!

```
// x'' == x' * 2 \& x' == x + 1
if (x == 0) {
 // x'' == 0 \& x'' == x' * 2 \& x' == x + 1
  // input: x == UINT64 MAX
} else {
  // x'' = 0 \& x'' = x' * 2 \& x' = x + 1
 // input: x != UINT64 MAX
```

...

EXAMPLE: SYMBOLIC EXECUTION

...

```
if (x == 0) {
  // A holds
} else {
  // B holds
}
// try with A
x := x - 1
// x''' == x'' - 1 \& \& A
```

EXAMPLE: SYMBOLIC EXECUTION

```
...
if (x == 0) {
  // A holds
} else {
  // B holds
}
// then or simultaneously try with B
x := x - 1
// x'' = x'' - 1 \& B
```

CHALLENGES

Should we explore <u>both</u> branches? Are we still <u>reachable</u> anyway? How often should we ask the SMT solver? How do we organize the <u>symbolic</u> store to facilitate fast back tracking? Can we integrate loop invariants for completeness?

How do we deal with procedures, recursion?

SYMBOLIC EXECUTION ENGINES

SAGE (Microsoft Research) KLEE (Imperial)

• • •

EXAMPLE: BOUNDED MODEL CHECKING (MERGING)

```
...
if (x == 0) {
  // A holds
} else {
  // B holds
}
// or try A | B
x := x - 1
// x'' = x'' - 1 \& (A | B)
```



Should we merge or not?

Are we, the symbolic execution engine, or the SMT solver more efficient?

OUR RESEARCH

Is there a way to generate <u>minimal</u> formulae for bounded model checking? (we generate <u>SMT-LIB</u> formulae)

Can we offload the work entirely to a solver? (we generate <u>BTOR2</u> formulae)

SYMBOLIC EXECUTION OF RISC-V CODE

32x 64-bit registers 64-bit program counter

4GB byte-addressed, 64-bit-word-aligned memory

machine instructions are encoded in 32 bits

INITIALIZATION

lui rd,imm: rd = imm * 2^12; pc = pc + 4 with -2^19 <= imm < 2^19 addi rd,rs1,imm: rd = rs1 + imm; pc = pc + 4 with -2^11 <= imm < 2^11</pre>

SMT-LIB: bvadd

ARITHMETIC

add rd,rs1,rs2: rd = rs1 + rs2; pc = pc + 4
sub rd,rs1,rs2: rd = rs1 - rs2; pc = pc + 4
mul rd,rs1,rs2: rd = rs1 * rs2; pc = pc + 4
divu rd,rs1,rs2: rd = rs1 / rs2; pc = pc + 4
where rs1 and rs2 are unsigned integers.
remu rd,rs1,rs2: rd = rs1 % rs2; pc = pc + 4

SMT-LIB: bvadd, bvsub, bvmul, bvudiv, bvurem

where rsl and rs2 are unsigned integers.

COMPARISON sltu rd,rs1,rs2: if (rs1 < rs2) { rd = 1;} else { rd = 0;} pc = pc + 4;where rs1 and rs2 are unsigned integers. SMT-LIB: bvult

MEMORY

ld rd,imm(rs1): rd = memory[rs1 + imm]; pc = pc + 4with $-2^{11} <= imm < 2^{11}$ sd rs2,imm(rs1): memory[rs1 + imm] = rs2; pc = pc + 4with $-2^{11} \le imm \le 2^{11}$

CONTROL

beq rs1,rs2,imm:

if (rs1 == rs2) pc = pc + imm else pc = pc + 4 with $-2^{12} \le imm \le 2^{12}$ and imm & 2 == 0

SMT-LIB: bvcomp

jal rd, imm:

rd = pc + 4; pc = pc + imm with $-2^20 \le imm \le 2^20$ and imm \$ 2 == 0

```
jalr rd, imm(rs1):
```

tmp = ((rs1 + imm) / 2) * 2; rd = pc + 4; pc = tmp with -2^11 <= imm < 2^11</pre>

SYSTEM CALLS

ecall:

system call number is in a7, parameters are in a0-a2, return value is in a0.

exit, brk, open, read, write

SELFIE MONSTER

./selfie -c example.c -se 0 30 generates example.smt

./selfie -c example.c -se 0 30 --merge-enabled

BOUNDED MODEL CHECKING WITH BTOR2

arithmetic: add, sub, mul, udiv, urem

comparison: ult

memory: read, write

control: eq, ite runtime error: bad



theory of 64-bit bit vector arrays

RISC-V MACHINE STATE

each <u>register</u> is a 64-bit bit vector

the <u>program counter</u> is encoded by one bit for each instruction that is set if the instruction is currently being executed

<u>memory</u> is a 64-bit bit vector array initialized with data segment and stack plus <u>control</u> and <u>data flow</u>

RISC-V INSTRUCTIONS AS STATE TRANSITIONS

an instruction changes at most <u>two</u> 64-bit words:

a 64-bit <u>register</u> or a 64-bit <u>memory word</u> or <u>nothing</u> (data flow)

and

the 64-bit program counter (control flow)

RISC-V SYSTEM CALLS AS STATE TRANSITIONS

system calls are implemented as follows: exit: final state brk: bump pointer open: file descriptor read: write to memory write: read from memory

SELFIE MONSTER

./selfie -c example.c -mc 0

generates

example.btor2

SELFIE MONSTER DEMO